

NILPOTENCY AND DIMENSION SERIES FOR LOOPS

JACOB MOSTOVOY

ABSTRACT. We take a step towards the development of a nilpotency theory for loops based on the commutator-associator filtration instead of the lower central series. This nilpotency theory shares many essential features with the associative case. In particular, we show that the isolator of the n th commutator-associator subloop coincides with the n th dimension subloop over a field of characteristic zero.

The lower central series for groups can be defined in two essentially different ways. Namely, the lower central series of a group G is a descending filtration of G by normal subgroups

$$G = G_1 \supseteq G_2 \supseteq \dots$$

defined inductively by setting either:

$G_i = [G, G_{i-1}]$ where $[G, H]$ is the largest of all subgroups K of G with the property that K/H is contained in the centre of G/H ;

or, G_i to be generated by all commutators $[x, y]$ with $x \in G_p$ and $y \in G_q$ with $p + q \geq i$.

These two definitions are equivalent for groups. However, in the non-associative case they give rise to rather different objects. The first definition, with “groups” replaced by “loops”, produces Bruck’s lower central series, see [1]. An analog of the second definition for loops was introduced in [6] under the name of “commutator-associator filtration”. The terms of the commutator-associator filtration contain, but do not necessarily coincide with the corresponding terms of the lower central series.

The main advantage of the commutator-associator filtration is the existence of a rich algebraic structure on the associated graded abelian group, consisting of an infinite number of multilinear operations. It can be seen that two of the operations, namely those induced by the loop commutator and the loop associator, satisfy the Akiyoshi identity. However, the complete identification of this algebraic structure is a non-trivial problem.

In this paper we set up a nilpotency theory for loops based on the commutator-associator filtration. In this theory the standard techniques of the theory of nilpotent groups can be applied and various results valid for groups can be extended to loops. In particular, we shall prove that for an arbitrary loop the isolators of the terms of the commutator-associator filtration coincide with the dimension series. As a corollary, we identify the algebraic structure on the graded $\mathbf{Q}$ -vector space associated to the commutator-associator filtration: it turns out to be a Sabinin algebra.

Throughout the text we make the emphasis on the similarities, rather than differences, between nilpotency theories for groups and for general loops. This should not leave the impression that extending the nilpotency theory from groups to loops is a straightforward task. In particular, the residual nilpotency of the free loop, established for the lower central series by Higman [3], remains an open question for the commutator-associator filtration. We did not strive for completeness; many relevant topics (such as applications to particular classes of loops, relation to the nilpotency of the multiplication group of the loop et cetera) have remained outside the scope of this paper.

Acknowledgments. I would like to thank Liudmila Sabinina and José María Pérez Izquierdo for discussions. This work was supported by the CONACyT grant CO2-44100.

1. N -SEQUENCES

1.1. The commutator-associator filtration. The commutator-associator filtration on a loop L is defined in terms of commutators, associators and associator deviations [6].

The *commutator* of two elements a, b of L is

$$[a, b] = (ba) \setminus (ab)$$

and the *associator* of a, b and c is defined by

$$(a, b, c) = (a(bc)) \setminus ((ab)c).$$

There is an infinite number of *associator deviations*. These are functions $L^{l+3} \rightarrow L$ characterised by a non-negative number l , called *level* of the deviation, and l indices $\alpha_1, \dots, \alpha_l$ with $0 < \alpha_i \leq i + 2$. The deviations of level one are

$$\begin{aligned} (a, b, c, d)_1 &= ((a, c, d)(b, c, d)) \setminus (ab, c, d), \\ (a, b, c, d)_2 &= ((a, b, d)(a, c, d)) \setminus (a, bc, d), \\ (a, b, c, d)_3 &= ((a, b, c)(a, b, d)) \setminus (a, b, cd). \end{aligned}$$

By definition, the deviation $(a_1, \dots, a_{l+3})_{\alpha_1, \dots, \alpha_l}$ of level l is equal to

$$(A(a_{\alpha_l})A(a_{\alpha_l+1})) \setminus A(a_{\alpha_l}a_{\alpha_l+1})$$

where $A(x)$ stands for the deviation $(a_1, \dots, a_{\alpha_l-1}, x, a_{\alpha_l+2}, \dots, a_{l+3})_{\alpha_1, \dots, \alpha_{l-1}}$ of level $l-1$. The associator is thought of as the associator deviation of level zero.

Now, set $\gamma_1 L = L$ and for $n > 1$ define $\gamma_n L$ to be the minimal normal subloop of L containing

- $[\gamma_p L, \gamma_q L]$ with $p + q \geq n$;
- $(\gamma_p L, \gamma_q L, \gamma_r L)$ with $p + q + r \geq n$;
- $(\gamma_{p_1} L, \dots, \gamma_{p_{l+3}} L)_{\alpha_1, \dots, \alpha_l}$ with $p_1 + \dots + p_{l+3} \geq n$.

The subloop $\gamma_n L$ is called the n th *commutator-associator subloop* of L .

Lemma 1. [6] *For an arbitrary loop L the commutator, the associator and the associator deviations induce multilinear operations on the graded abelian group $\oplus \gamma_i L / \gamma_{i+1} L$; these operations respect the grading.*

For the associator and the deviations the statement of the lemma follows straight from the definition of the commutator-associator subloops. As for the commutators, we shall now see that for arbitrary $a \in \gamma_p L$, $b \in \gamma_q L$ and $c \in \gamma_r L$, the commutator $[ab, c]$ is equal to $[a, c][b, c]$ modulo $\gamma_{p+q+r} L$.

Indeed, modulo $\gamma_{p+q+r} L$

$$(ca)b \cdot [a, c] \equiv ca \cdot (b[a, c]) \equiv ca \cdot ([a, c]b) \equiv (ca)[a, c] \cdot b = (ac)b \equiv a(cb).$$

Hence, modulo $\gamma_{p+q+r} L$

$$c(ab) \cdot ([a, c][b, c]) \equiv (ca)b \cdot ([a, c][b, c]) \equiv ((ca)b \cdot [a, c])[b, c] \equiv a(cb) \cdot [b, c] \equiv a \cdot (cb)[b, c] = a(bc) \equiv (ab)c,$$

and therefore $([a, c][b, c]) \setminus [ab, c]$ is in $\gamma_{p+q+r} L$. Similarly one proves that $([a, b][a, c]) \setminus [a, bc]$ belongs to $\gamma_{p+q+r} L$. This implies that the commutator induces a bilinear operation on $\oplus \gamma_i L / \gamma_{i+1} L$.

At this point it is convenient to introduce a notion that allows to speak of commutators, associators and deviations at the same time. A *bracket of weight n* is an expression in n indeterminates formed by repeatedly applying commutators, associators and deviations, and in which every indeterminate appears only once. In particular, the commutator is a bracket of weight 2 and a deviation of level l is a bracket of weight $l + 3$. Lemma 1 implies that a bracket of weight n induces an n -linear operation on $\oplus \gamma_i L / \gamma_{i+1} L$.

Lemma 2. *For an arbitrary finitely generated loop L the abelian groups $\gamma_i L / \gamma_{i+1} L$ are finitely generated.*

The group $\gamma_i L / \gamma_{i+1} L$ is generated by the classes of all brackets of weight i . Since the brackets of weight i are linear in all i arguments on $\gamma_i L / \gamma_{i+1} L$, the brackets of weight i whose arguments belong to a fixed finite set of generators of L , are sufficient to span $\gamma_i L / \gamma_{i+1} L$. However, there is only a finite number of such brackets.

We say that L is *nilpotent* if there exists n such that $\gamma_{n+1}L = 1$. The minimal such n is called the *nilpotency class* of L .

Remark. It can be seen that for L nilpotent, the word “normal” can be omitted in the definition of the commutator-associator subloops.

1.2. More on deviations. The definition of the associator deviations given above does not use any specific property of the associator. In fact, the deviations can be constructed for any function $\phi(x) : L \rightarrow L$. We define the *deviation* $\phi(x_1, x_2)$ derived from $\phi(x)$ by setting

$$\phi(x_1, x_2) = (\phi(x_1)\phi(x_2)) \setminus \phi(x_1x_2).$$

If ϕ is a function $L^k \rightarrow L$ with $k > 1$ one can consider deviations with respect to each variable. The deviations derived from the associator are the usual associator deviations of level one.

Now, let w be a word in the free loop on k generators F_k . For any loop L it induces a function $\phi_w(x_1, \dots, x_k)$ from L^k to L .

Proposition 3. *Suppose that $\phi_w(x_1, \dots, x_k)$ respects the commutator-associator filtration on any loop, that is, $x_i \in \gamma_{n_i}L$ implies $\phi_w(x_1, \dots, x_k) \in \gamma_{n_1+\dots+n_k}L$ for any L . Then a deviation derived from ϕ_w with respect to any variable also respects the commutator-associator filtration.*

The rest of this subsection is dedicated to the proof of this statement.

Let $\phi : L^m \rightarrow L$ be a function which respects the commutator-associator filtration. We shall say that ϕ is *regular* if all deviations derived from ϕ also respect the commutator-associator filtration. Thus, Proposition 3 says that any function of the form ϕ_w that respects the commutator-associator filtration, is regular.

Associator deviations of all levels are regular by definition. It follows from the proof of Lemma 1 that the commutator is regular.

Lemma 4. *Let $\phi : L^m \rightarrow L$ be a regular function. For each $1 \leq i \leq m$ let X^i be a non-empty finite set of letters and let $\psi_i : L^{|X^i|} \rightarrow L$ be a regular function in the variables from the set X^i . (We do not assume that the sets X^i are disjoint.) Then the composition $\phi(\psi_1, \dots, \psi_m)$ is also regular.*

Assume that ϕ is a function with two arguments and that ψ_1 and ψ_2 are functions of the same single variable. Then for $x \in \gamma_p L$ and $y \in \gamma_q L$ we have that, modulo $\gamma_{p+q}L$

$$\begin{aligned} \phi(\psi_1(xy), \psi_2(xy)) &= \phi(\psi_1(x)\psi_1(y) \cdot \psi_1(x, y), \psi_2(x)\psi_2(y) \cdot \psi_2(x, y)) \\ &\equiv \phi(\psi_1(x)\psi_1(y), \psi_2(x)\psi_2(y)) \\ &\equiv \left(\phi(\psi_1(x), \psi_2(x)) \phi(\psi_1(x), \psi_2(y)) \right) \left(\phi((\psi_1(y), \psi_2(x)) \phi((\psi_1(y), \psi_2(y))) \right) \\ &\equiv \phi(\psi_1(x), \psi_2(x)) \cdot \phi(\psi_1(y), \psi_2(y)) \end{aligned}$$

and, therefore, the deviation derived from $\phi(\psi_1, \psi_2)$ belongs to $\gamma_{p+q}L$.

The general case is entirely similar except for the complexity of notation; we omit the proof.

Lemma 5. *Let $\psi_1, \psi_2 : L^m \rightarrow L$ be regular functions in the same set of variables. Then the functions $\psi_1\psi_2$, ψ_1/ψ_2 and $\psi_1 \setminus \psi_2$ are also regular.*

For the sake of simplicity assume that ψ_1 and ψ_2 are functions in one variable. Take $x \in \gamma_p L$ and $y \in \gamma_q L$. Then, modulo $\gamma_{p+q}L$

$$\begin{aligned} \psi_1(xy)\psi_2(xy) &= (\psi_1(x)\psi_1(y) \cdot \psi_1(x, y))(\psi_2(x)\psi_2(y)\psi_2(x, y)) \\ &\equiv \psi_1(x)\psi_1(y) \cdot \psi_2(x)\psi_2(y) \\ &\equiv \psi_1(x)\psi_2(x) \cdot \psi_1(y)\psi_2(y) \end{aligned}$$

and it follows that $\psi_1\psi_2$ is regular.

The regularity of ψ_1/ψ_2 and $\psi_1 \setminus \psi_2$ is proved in the same manner. The case of several variables is entirely similar.

In order to establish the truth of Proposition 3 it is sufficient to prove that the deviations derived from ϕ_w respect the commutator-associator filtration for nilpotent loops. Let L be of nilpotency class $N - 1$. Then ϕ_w only depends on the image of w in $F_k/\gamma_N F_k$.

It follows from the proof of Lemma 2 that for an arbitrary positive integer N , the word w can be written, modulo $\gamma_N F_k$, as a word in brackets of weight at least k whose arguments are the generators of F_k .

It can be assumed that each of these brackets contains every generator $x_1, \dots, x_k$ of F_k at least once. Indeed, the word w can be written as

$$w = w_k = u_k v_k \cdot w_{k+1}$$

where w_{k+1} is a word in brackets of weight at least $k + 1$, u_k is a word in brackets of weight k among whose arguments the generator x_i is present, and v_k is a word in brackets of weight k among whose arguments the generator x_i is missing. Since $\phi_w(x_1, \dots, x_k)$ respects the commutator-associator filtration on $F_k/\gamma_N F_k$, it follows that replacing x_i by 1 in w we obtain a word representing the identity in $F_k/\gamma_N F_k$. By definition, brackets of all weights respect the commutator-associator filtration. In particular, replacing x_i by 1 in u_k we also get the identity. Since v_k does not change under replacing x_i by 1, it follows that $v_k \in \gamma_{k+1} F_k/\gamma_N F_k$ and, hence, can be taken to be equal to the identity.

This shows that u_k is a word in brackets of weight k which contain all the x_i among their arguments. Lemma 4 implies that u_k gives rise to a regular function, and therefore, by Lemma 5 $u_k \setminus w_k$ also does. Now, writing $u_k \setminus w_k$ as

$$u_k \setminus w_k = u_{k+1} v_{k+1} \cdot w_{k+2}$$

we can repeat the argument to show that v_{k+1} can be taken to be the identity etc.

Finally, since $w = u_k(u_{k+1}(\dots u_{N-1}))$ with all the u_i giving rise to regular functions, it follows from Lemma 5 that ϕ_w is also regular.

1.3. Isolators. Let F be the free loop on the single generator x and $\delta : F \rightarrow \mathbf{Z}$ — the homomorphism that sends x to 1. If $w(x)$ is a non-associative word in x its *degree* is defined to be the integer $\delta(w)$.

Let L be a loop and $K \subset L$ — a normal subloop. The *isolator* of K in L , denoted by $\sqrt{K}$, is the minimal normal subloop of L containing all such $x \in L$ that $w(x) \in K$ for some word w of non-zero degree. An element of L is called *periodic* if it belongs to the isolator of the identity. A loop is *torsion-free* if it has no periodic elements.

1.4. The dimension filtration. Let us now recall the definition of the dimension filtration for loops [7]. Let R be a commutative unital ring and L — an arbitrary loop. The *augmentation ideal* $I \subset RL$ is the kernel of the R -linear map of the loop algebra RL to R that sends every element of L to 1. The n th power of I is the linear span of all products of at least n elements of I . The loop L sits inside RL and its intersection with $1 + I^n$ is a normal subloop of L , called the n th dimension subloop over R and denoted by $D_n(L, R)$. In what follows we shall only consider dimension subloops over a field $\mathbf{k}$ of characteristic 0 and write $D_n L$ for $D_n(L, \mathbf{k})$.

Lemma 6. *For any loop L $\sqrt{\gamma_n L} \subseteq D_n L$.*

For any loop L the brackets of all weights respect the dimension filtration. In other words, if $x \in D_p L$ and $y \in D_q L$, the commutator $[x, y]$ belongs to $D_{p+q} L$ and similarly for the associator and the associator deviations [7]. Since $\gamma_1 L = D_1 L = L$ it follows that $\gamma_n L \subseteq D_n L$ for all n .

Now, let x be an element of L that does not belong to $D_n L$. Then $u = 1 - x$ belongs to the augmentation ideal I but not to I^n . Suppose u belongs to I^k but not to I^{k+1} . Here $1 \leq k < n$. For any word w in x of degree $m \neq 0$ we have

$$w(x) = 1 - mu + \dots$$

and the omitted terms are integer multiples of monomials u of degrees at least 2. Hence, $1 - w(x) \equiv mu \pmod{I^{k+1}}$ and, since $\mathbf{k}$ has characteristic 0, it follows that $w(x) \notin D_n L$. However, as $\gamma_n L$ is contained in $D_n L$ for all n , $w(x)$ cannot be contained in $\gamma_n L$.

1.5. The isolators of $\gamma_i L$ as an N -sequence. A filtration of a loop L by normal subloops $L = L_1 \supseteq L_2 \supseteq \dots$ is said to be an N -sequence if for all n any bracket of weight n evaluated on arbitrary elements $x_i \in L_{p_i}$, ($0 < i \leq n$) gives an element of $L_{p_1+\dots+p_n}$. Both the commutator-associator filtration and the dimension filtration are N -sequences [7]. As in Lemma 1, the brackets of weight n induce n -linear operations on the graded group associated to an N -sequence.

Proposition 7. *The filtration of any loop L by $\sqrt{\gamma_n L}$ is an N -sequence.*

The proof of Proposition 7 is based on the following result.

Let θ be a non-associative word on k letters and let $x_1, \dots, x_k$ be elements of L . Define $\theta_{x_1, \dots, x_k}$ to be the subloop of L normally generated by all elements of the form $\theta(w_1(x_1), \dots, w_k(x_k))$ where w_i are words on one letter.

Let W_i , where $0 < i \leq k$, be words on one letter, each of non-zero degree.

Lemma 8. *If L is nilpotent, the quotient $\theta_{x_1, \dots, x_k} / \theta_{W_1(x_1), \dots, W_k(x_k)}$ is finite.*

It is sufficient to prove Lemma 8 for the free class- n nilpotent loop $F_k[n]$ on k generators $x_1, \dots, x_k$, that is, for the quotient of the free loop on the x_i by the $n+1$ st term of its commutator-associator filtration.

The proof goes by induction on the nilpotency class. The lemma is obvious for abelian groups. Assume it is true for free loops of nilpotency class at most $n-1$. The kernel of the homomorphism $F_k[n] \rightarrow F_k[n-1]$ is the commutative group $\gamma_n F_k[n]$. It is enough to prove that $\gamma_n F_k[n] \cap \theta_{W_1(x_1), \dots, W_k(x_k)}$ is of finite index in $\gamma_n F_k[n] \cap \theta_{x_1, \dots, x_k}$.

The group $\gamma_n F_k[n]$ is generated by the brackets of weight n evaluated on the x_i . In particular, we can choose a basis that consists of such brackets for the $\mathbf{Q}$ -vector space $\gamma_n F_k[n] \otimes \mathbf{Q}$. The linearity of the brackets implies that the homomorphism $\omega : F_k[n] \rightarrow F_k[n]$ defined by sending x_i to $W_i(x_i)$ induces a transformation of $\gamma_n F_k[n] \otimes \mathbf{Q}$ given by a diagonal matrix with non-zero diagonal entries. Hence, ω induces an isomorphism of $(\gamma_n F_k[n] \cap \theta_{x_1, \dots, x_k}) \otimes \mathbf{Q}$ into itself. On the other hand, since the image of $\theta_{x_1, \dots, x_k}$ under ω is contained in $\theta_{W_1(x_1), \dots, W_k(x_k)}$ it follows that $\gamma_n F_k[n] \cap \theta_{W_1(x_1), \dots, W_k(x_k)}$ is of finite index in $\gamma_n F_k[n] \cap \theta_{x_1, \dots, x_k}$.

Now we are in the position to prove Proposition 7. It is sufficient to verify it for nilpotent loops; the general case can be reduced to the case of nilpotent loops by replacing L with $L/\sqrt{\gamma_N L}$ with sufficiently large N .

Assume that L is nilpotent. Let $x \in \sqrt{\gamma_p L}$ and $y \in \sqrt{\gamma_q L}$ so that there exist words w_1 and w_2 on one letter and of non-zero degree such that $[w_1(x), w_2(y)] \in \gamma_{p+q} L$. Set $\theta = [x, y]$; applying Lemma 8 we see that $\theta_{w_1(x), w_2(y)}$ is of finite index in $\theta_{x, y}$ and, hence, there exists a word w on one letter and of non-zero degree such that $w([x, y]) \in \theta_{w_1(x), w_2(y)} \subseteq \gamma_{p+q} L$. Therefore, $[\sqrt{\gamma_p L}, \sqrt{\gamma_q L}] \subseteq \sqrt{\gamma_{p+q} L}$. Similarly one proves that

$$(\sqrt{\gamma_{p_1} L}, \dots, \sqrt{\gamma_{p_{l+3}} L})_{\alpha_1, \dots, \alpha_l} \subseteq \sqrt{\gamma_{p_1+\dots+p_{k+3}} L}.$$

2. THE JENNINGS THEOREM

Now we can state our main result.

Theorem 9. *For any field $\mathbf{k}$ of characteristic 0 and for any loop L , the isolator $\sqrt{\gamma_n L}$ of $\gamma_n L$ in L coincides with the dimension subloop $D_n(L, \mathbf{k})$.*

The associative version of this theorem is due to Jennings [4]. Our proof follows the argument given in Chapter 7 of [2], see also [9] and [8].

Theorem 9 implies that after tensoring with a field of characteristic zero, the graded groups associated to the dimension and the commutator-associator filtrations become isomorphic. The group $\oplus D_n L / D_{n+1} L \otimes \mathbf{Q}$ has the structure of a Sabinin algebra.

Recall that Sabinin algebras are related to Lie algebras in the same way as loops are related to groups. They were initially introduced by Mikheev and Sabinin as tangent structures to general affine connections, see [11, 5]. Later, it was proved that primitive elements in a non-associative bialgebra form a Sabinin algebra [12], and that every Sabinin algebra arises this way [10].

It is known from [7] that $\oplus D_n L / D_{n+1} L \otimes \mathbf{Q}$ is the Sabinin algebra of primitive elements of the algebra $\oplus I^n / I^{n+1}$, the primitive operations of Shestakov-Umirbaev [12] being induced by associator deviations. Therefore, we have

Corollary 10. *The graded group $\oplus \gamma_n L / \gamma_{n+1} L \otimes \mathbf{Q}$ is a Sabinin algebra with the commutator and the Shestakov-Umirbaev operations induced by the commutator and the associator deviations on L respectively.*

2.1. The outline of the proof. We have already seen that $\sqrt{\gamma_n L}$ is contained $D_n L$. So, just like in the associative situation, it is enough to prove that if $\sqrt{\gamma_N L} = 1$, then $D_N L$ is also trivial. We can assume that L is finitely generated, since any element of $D_n L$ belongs to $D_n L'$ where $L' \subseteq L$ is some finitely generated subloop.

Let us fix some notation. For $a \in L$ denote the corresponding element of the left multiplication group of L by λ_a . Similarly, for any v in the loop algebra $\mathbf{k}L$ we write λ_v for the left multiplication by v in $\mathbf{k}L$. Writing a product $a_1 a_2 \dots a_m$ without parentheses we mean $a_1(a_2(\dots a_m)) = \lambda_{a_1} \lambda_{a_2} \dots \lambda_{a_m}(1)$. The expression a^m will stand for $\lambda_a^m(1)$.

All the quotients $\sqrt{\gamma_n L} / \sqrt{\gamma_{n+1} L}$ are torsion-free; let M be the sum of the ranks of these quotients. There are $x_i \in L$ with $1 \leq i \leq M$ and integers c_j with $1 \leq j \leq N$ such that $c_1 = 1$, $c_j \leq c_{j+1}$, $c_N = M + 1$ and

$$\sqrt{\gamma_n L} = \{\sqrt{\gamma_{n+1} L}, x_{c_n}, x_{c_n+1}, \dots, x_{c_{n+1}-1}\}.$$

Then each element of L can be uniquely written as $\lambda_{x_1}^{r_1} \lambda_{x_2}^{r_2} \dots \lambda_{x_M}^{r_M}(1)$ with r_i integers.

Let x be a generator of the infinite cyclic group and set $u = 1 - x$. By Lemma 7.2 of [2], the group ring of the infinite cyclic group has the basis consisting of $1, u, u^2, \dots$ together with $u^m x^{-1}, u^m x^{-2}, \dots$ where m is any positive integer. Let $u_i = 1 - x_i \in \mathbf{k}L$, then $\lambda_{u_i} = 1 - \lambda_{x_i}$. Therefore, we have the following

Lemma 11. *The loop algebra $\mathbf{k}L$ has a basis consisting of all elements of the form*

$$\Lambda_1 \Lambda_2 \dots \Lambda_M(1)$$

where Λ_i is equal to either $\lambda_{u_i}^{r_i}$ or $\lambda_{x_i}^{-s_i} \lambda_{u_i}^N$ with r_i a non-negative and s_i — a positive integer.

Define $\mu(u_i)$ to be the largest number k such that $x_i \in \sqrt{\gamma_k L}$. For any basis element v of the form described in Lemma 11 we define $\mu(v) \geq N$ if at least one of the Λ_i in v has the form $\lambda_{x_i}^{-s} \lambda_{u_i}^N$; otherwise v is of the form $v = \lambda_{u_1}^{r_1} \dots \lambda_{u_M}^{r_M}$ and we set $\mu(v) = \sum \mu(u_i) r_i$.

For $k \leq N$ denote by E_k the vector space over $\mathbf{k}$ spanned by those basis elements v with $\mu(v) \geq k$; for $k > N$ set $E_k = E_N$. It is clear that E_k is contained in the k th power I^k of the augmentation ideal I .

Lemma 12. $E_k E_l \subseteq E_{k+l}$.

The proof of this lemma will be given in the next section. Now, assuming the truth of Lemma 12, let us finish the proof of Theorem 9.

The augmentation ideal I is the same thing as E_1 . Since $E_k \subseteq I^k$, it follows from Lemma 12 that I^k coincides with E_k . Lemma 11 implies that the elements $u_i = \lambda_{u_i}(1)$ with $\mu(u_i) = k$ (these are the u_i with $c_k \leq i < c_{k+1}$) are linearly independent modulo E_{k+1} , and hence, modulo I^{k+1} .

Now, any $y \in \sqrt{\gamma_k L} - \sqrt{\gamma_{k+1} L}$ is of the form $\lambda_{x_j}^{r_j} \lambda_{x_{j+1}}^{r_{j+1}} \dots \lambda_{x_{j+l}}^{r_l}(z)$ with $j = c_k$, $l = c_{k+1} - c_k - 1$, $z \in \sqrt{\gamma_{k+1} L}$ and not all r_i equal to zero. Since $1 - z$ is in I^{k+1} , we have

$$1 - y \equiv \sum r_i u_{j+i} \pmod{I^{k+1}}$$

where the sum is over all $0 \leq i \leq l$. Therefore, $1 - y$ does not belong to I^{k+1} and, hence, $y \notin D_{k+1} L$. In particular, if $y \neq 1$, then $y \notin D_N L$.

3. PROOF OF LEMMA 12

Given a set X of elements of L , an *elementary bracket* with respect to X is a bracket whose arguments belong to X . Take $X = \{a, b_1, \dots, b_p, c_1, \dots, c_q\}$. Then, using the definition of associator deviations, one can decompose the loop associator $(a, b_1 \dots b_p, c_1 \dots c_q)$ as a product of elementary brackets. For every pair of non-empty subsets $I = \{i_1, \dots, i_{|I|}\} \subseteq \{1, 2, \dots, p\}$ and $J = \{j_1, \dots, j_{|J|}\} \subseteq \{1, 2, \dots, q\}$ this product contains precisely one deviation of the form $(a, b_{i_1}, \dots, b_{i_{|I|}}, c_{j_1}, \dots, c_{j_{|J|}})_{*, \dots, *}$. We shall fix once and for all such a decomposition and call it Π .

Let S be some subset of the set of all elementary brackets that form the product Π . One can then form a product of elementary brackets Π_S by deleting from Π all the brackets that do not belong to S . Now, replace in the product Π_S each elementary bracket w by $w - 1$; the resulting element of kL is denoted by P_S . If S is empty, then $\Pi_S = 1$ and $P_S = 0$.

Write A, B_i and C_j for $1 - a, 1 - b_i$ and $1 - c_j$ respectively. Denote by B_I and C_J the products $B_{i_1} B_{i_2} \dots B_{i_{|I|}}$ and $C_{j_1} C_{j_2} \dots C_{j_{|J|}}$ respectively, where $I = \{i_1, \dots, i_{|I|}\}$ and $J = \{j_1, \dots, j_{|J|}\}$, in a similar way we define products b_I and c_J . If I (or J) is empty, then $B_I = 1$ ($C_J = 1$, respectively).

Then the following formula holds:

$$(1) \quad A \cdot (B_1 B_2 \dots B_p \cdot C_1 C_2 \dots C_q) - (AB_1 B_2 \dots B_p) \cdot C_1 C_2 \dots C_q \\ = (-1)^{p+q} \sum_S \left(\sum_{I, J} (-1)^{|I|+|J|} a \cdot B_I C_J \right) \cdot P_S.$$

Here the sum inside the brackets on the right-hand side is taken over all subsets $I \subseteq \{1, \dots, p\}$ and $J \subseteq \{1, \dots, q\}$ with the property that if no bracket in S contains b_i (or c_j) as an argument, then $i \in I$ (or $j \in J$, respectively).

In order to prove (1), notice that

$$ab_I \cdot c_J = (a \cdot b_I c_J) \left(1 + \sum_{S \subseteq S_{I, J}} P_S \right),$$

where $S_{I, J}$ is the subset consisting of all brackets from Π which contain only the variables a, b_I and c_J . Also,

$$\begin{aligned} aB_I \cdot C_J &= \sum_{I' \subseteq I, J' \subseteq J} (-1)^{|I'|+|J'|} ab_{I'} \cdot c_{J'} \\ &= \sum_{I' \subseteq I, J' \subseteq J} (-1)^{|I'|+|J'|} (a \cdot b_{I'} c_{J'}) \left(1 + \sum_{S \subseteq S_{I', J'}} P_S \right) \\ &= a \cdot B_I C_J + \sum_{I' \subseteq I, J' \subseteq J} (-1)^{|I'|+|J'|} (a \cdot b_{I'} c_{J'}) \sum_{S \subseteq S_{I', J'}} P_S. \end{aligned}$$

Now, $aB_I \cdot C_J - a \cdot B_I C_J = A \cdot B_I C_J - AB_I \cdot C_J$. It remains to calculate the coefficient at P_S for given S :

$$\sum_{I'' \subseteq I', J'' \subseteq J'} (-1)^{|I''|+|J''|} (a \cdot b_{I''} c_{J''}) = \sum_{I-I'' \subseteq I', J-J'' \subseteq J'} (-1)^{|I-I''|+|J-J''|} (a \cdot B_{I-I''} C_{J-J''}).$$

Now, setting $I = \{1, \dots, p\}$ and $J = \{1, \dots, q\}$ and writing I, J instead of I'', J'' we get (1).

We shall need two other formulae similar to (1). Consider the *anti-associator*

$$(a, b, c)' = ((ab)c) \setminus (a(bc)).$$

Mimicking the construction of deviations for the associator, we can build the hierarchy of deviations of all levels derived from the anti-associator. Then we have the following formula:

$$(2) \quad (A_1 A_2 \dots A_p \cdot B) \cdot C_1 C_2 \dots C_q - A_1 A_2 \dots A_p \cdot BC_1 C_2 \dots C_q \\ = (-1)^{p+q} \sum_S \left(\sum_{I, J} (-1)^{|I|+|J|} A_I b \cdot C_J \right) \cdot Q_S.$$

Here Q_S is defined exactly as P_S but with anti-associators and the deviations derived from them instead of associators and associator deviations. All other symbols have the same meaning as in (1). In the particular case when $p = 1$, the formulae (2) and (1) give

$$(3) \quad AB \cdot C_1 C_2 \dots C_q - A \cdot BC_1 C_2 \dots C_q = (-1)^{q+1} \sum_S \left(\sum_J (-1)^{|J|} ab \cdot C_J \right) \cdot Q_S$$

$$(4) \quad = (-1)^q \sum_S \left(\sum_J (-1)^{|J|} a \cdot b C_J \right) \cdot P_S$$

where A and B stand for A_1 and B_1 respectively, and a and b — for a_1 and b_1 .

The same construction can also be performed for the commutator. The resulting formula reads

$$(5) \quad A_1 A_2 \dots A_p \cdot B - B \cdot A_1 A_2 \dots A_p = (-1)^{p+1} \sum_S \left(\sum_I (-1)^{|I|} b \cdot A_I \right) \cdot R_S.$$

Remark. The anti-associator is readily seen to respect the commutator-associator filtration. Proposition 3 then implies that the deviations of all levels derived from the anti-associator also respect the commutator-associator filtration. The same thing can be said about the commutator and the deviations of all levels derived from it.

Set $v_i = 1 - x_i \setminus 1$ and consider products of the form

$$(6) \quad \Lambda'_1 \Lambda'_2 \dots \Lambda'_M(1)$$

where Λ'_i is equal to $\lambda_{v_i}^{d_i} \lambda_{u_i}^{r_i}$ with $d_i, r_i \geq 0$. For every such product u set $\mu'(u) = \sum \mu(u_i) r_i$ and $l(u) = \sum (d_i + r_i)$.

Let E'_k be the subspace of $\mathbf{k}L$ spanned by the products $\Lambda'_1 \dots \Lambda'_M(1)$ with $\mu' \geq k$. For $1 \leq s \leq M$, let $E'_{k,s}$ be the subspace of E'_k spanned by the products that have $\Lambda'_1 = \Lambda'_2 = \dots = \Lambda'_{s-1} = 1$. In particular, $E'_{k,1} = E'_k$.

Lemma 13. *If $y \in E'_{k,s}$, $z \in E'_{l,s}$ and $x = \lambda_{v_{s-1}}^d \lambda_{u_{s-1}}^r(y)$, then*

$$(7) \quad xz = \sum_i \alpha_i \lambda_{v_{s-1}}^{\delta_i} \lambda_{u_{s-1}}^{\rho_i}(w_i)$$

where $w_i \in E'_{m_i,s}$, α_i are integers, $m_i = k + l + \mu(u_{s-1})(r - r_i)$, $\delta_i \leq d$ and $\rho_i \leq r$.

In particular, for $y \in E'_{k,s}$ and $z \in E'_{l,s}$ the product yz is contained in $E'_{k+l,s}$.

Proof. Let $z \in E'_{l,t}$ where $t \geq s$; we shall use descending induction on t . For $t = M$ the statement of the lemma is obvious since all elements of $E'_{l,M}$ commute and associate with everything. Suppose that for $t > q$ and all y, z as above the lemma has been established.

Consider first the case $z = u_q$. Set $p = l(x)$ and use induction on p .

For $p = 1$ we have that x is equal to either u_j or v_j for some $j \geq s - 1$, and there are two possibilities. If $j \leq q$, the condition (7) is satisfied automatically. If $j > q$ we have

$$u_j u_q - u_q u_j = ((1 - u_q)(1 - u_j))([x_q, x_j] - 1)$$

and

$$v_j u_q - u_q v_j = ((1 - u_q)(1 - v_j))([x_q, x_j \setminus 1] - 1).$$

(These formulae are particular cases of (5).) Since $[x_q, x_j] - 1$ $[x_q, x_j \setminus 1] - 1$ belong to $E'_{\mu'(u_q) + \mu'(u_j), q+j}$ the first induction assumption implies (7) for $p = 1$.

Assume that (7) holds for $z = u_q$ and all $p < p_0$.

Take $y \in E'_{k,s}$ with $l(\lambda_{v_{s-1}}^d \lambda_{u_{s-1}}^r(y)) = p_0$. If $d > 0$, write $y = v_{s-1} \tilde{y}$. Then $v_s \tilde{y} \cdot u_q - v_s \cdot \tilde{y} u_q$ can be re-written with the help of (1). The right-hand side of (1) has the form

$$\pm \sum_{S,I} (-1)^{|I|} \left((x_s \setminus 1) \cdot \tilde{y}_I x_q \right) \cdot P_S.$$

By the second induction assumption, the product $\tilde{y}_I u_q$ satisfies (7), and $P_S \in E'_{*,q'}$ with $q' > q$. Therefore, applying the first induction assumption we see that the product $v_s \tilde{y} \cdot u_q$ also satisfies (7), so we see that (7) holds for $z = u_q$ and $p = p_0$ as well. In the situation where $d = 0$ but $r \neq 0$ the argument is completely analogous.

If $d = 0$ and $r = 0$, we only have to consider the case when $q = s$. Applying (5) and the induction assumptions we see again that (7) is fulfilled, so the lemma holds whenever $z = u_q$.

In a similar fashion one verifies the lemma for $z = v_q$.

Let us now pass to the case of arbitrary $z \in E'_{l,q}$. If the condition (7) fails for some $z = \lambda_{u_q}^c(z')$ (where $z \in E'_{l-c\mu'(u_q),q+1}$) and some d, r and y , choose the counterexample with the smallest possible c . Then, on one hand, $xu_q \cdot \lambda_{u_q}^{c-1}(z')$ satisfies (7). On the other hand, $xu_q \cdot \lambda_{u_q}^{c-1}(z') - x \cdot \lambda_{u_q}^c(z')$ can be re-written using (2). However, using the induction assumption, we see that the right-hand side of (2) is a linear combination of products of the form $\lambda_{v_{s-1}}^{\delta_i} \lambda_{u_{s-1}}^{\rho_i}(w_i)$ with $\delta_i \leq d$ and $\rho_i \leq r$ and the w_i belonging to the “correct” terms of the filtration $E'_{*,*}$. Therefore, no such counterexample can exist.

Finally, it may happen that (7) fails for some $z = \lambda_{v_q}^b \lambda_{u_q}^c(z')$. Then the argument of the previous paragraph carries over to this situation without modifications. This completes the induction step. $\square$

Lemma 14. *If $u \in E'_{k,s}$ and $v \in E'_{l,s}$, then*

$$(x_s u)v = \sum_i \alpha_i x_s w_i$$

where $w_i \in E'_{k+l,s}$ and α_i are integers.

Proof. Assume that $v \in E'_{l,t}$ and use descending induction on t . For $t = M$ there is nothing to prove since x_M commutes and associates with everything. Suppose that the lemma is established for $t > q$.

We have

$$(x_s u)v - x_s(uv) = u_s(uv) - (u_s u)v$$

which, by formula (1) is equal to $\pm \sum_S \left(\sum_{I,J} (-1)^{|I|+|J|} x_s \cdot u_I v_J \right) \cdot P_S$. It follows from Lemma 13 that $uv \in E'_{k+l,s}$. Moreover, in each term $u_I v_J \in E'_{k',s}$ and $P_S \in E'_{k'',s'}$ with $k' + k'' \geq k + l$ and $s' > q$ and, hence, by the induction assumption $u_s(uv) - (u_s u)v \in E'_{k+l,s}$. $\square$

For $1 \leq s \leq M$, let $E_{k,s}$ be the subspace of E_k spanned by the basis elements $\Lambda_1 \Lambda_2 \dots \Lambda_M(1)$ that have $\Lambda_1 = \Lambda_2 = \dots = \Lambda_{s-1} = 1$. We have

Lemma 15. $E_{k,s} = E'_{k,s}$.

Together with Lemma 13 this establishes Lemma 12 since $E_k = E_{k,1}$.

Proof. Let us prove that every product of the form $\Lambda'_s \Lambda'_{s+1} \dots \Lambda'_M(1)$ with $\mu' \geq k$ is in $E_{k,s}$. The proof uses descending induction on s . For $s = M$ there is nothing to prove.

Assume that this statement is true for $s = q + 1$. If it does not hold for $s = q$, the set of all d such that $\lambda_{v_q}^d \lambda_{u_q}^r(v)$ does not belong to $E_{k,q}$ for some $r \geq 0$ and some $v \in E_{k-r\mu(u_q),q+1}$, is non-empty. Take the smallest such d ; clearly, $d \geq 1$. Choose $w = \lambda_{v_q}^{d-1} \lambda_{u_q}^r(v) \in E_{k,q}$ such that $v_q w$ is not contained in $E_{k,q}$. We have

$$(8) \quad w - x_q((x_q \backslash 1)w) = (x_q \cdot x_q \backslash 1)w - x_q((x_q \backslash 1)w) = u_q v_q \cdot w - u_q \cdot v_q w.$$

Since w can be written as a product $w_1 \dots w_m$ with w_i of the form u_j or v_j , the formula (3) can be applied to the expression on the right-hand side of (8); it is equal to

$$\pm \sum_S \left(\sum_J (-1)^{|J|} w_J \right) \cdot Q_S.$$

This lies in $E_{k,q}$ by Lemma 13 and the induction assumption; so does w . Therefore $x_q((x_q \setminus 1)w)$ also is in $E_{k,q}$ and, hence, $x_q(v_q w) \in E_{k,q}$.

The operator $\lambda_{x_q}^{-1}$ of left division by x_q can be written as a linear combination of operators of the form $\lambda_{u_q}^t$ and $\lambda_{x_q}^{-1} \lambda_{u_q}^N$ with $t \geq 0$, so $\lambda_{x_q}^{-1}(x_q(v_q w)) = v_q w$ is also in $E_{k,q}$, which contradicts our choice of w . Therefore, for $s = q$ all products of the form $\Lambda'_s \Lambda'_{s+1} \dots \Lambda'_M(1)$ with $\mu' \geq k$ belong to $E_{k,q}$.

It remains to see that $E_{k,s} \subseteq E'_{k,s}$. Assume that we have this established for $s > q$. Consider the set of all d such that $\lambda_{x_q}^{-d} \lambda_{u_q}^r(v)$ does not belong to $E'_{k,q}$ for some $v \in E_{k',q+1}$ and some $r \geq 0$ with $k' + r \geq k$. If this set is empty we are done. If not, take the smallest such d ; we have $d \geq 1$. Choose $w = \lambda_{x_q}^{-d+1} \lambda_{u_q}^r(v) \in E_{k,q}$ such that $x_q \setminus w$ is not contained in $E'_{k,q}$.

By construction $w \in E'_{k,q}$. Applying (4) to the expression on the right-hand side of (8) gives

$$u_q v_q \cdot w - u_q \cdot v_q w = \pm \sum_S \sum_J (-1)^{|J|} (x_q w_J - x_q \cdot v_q w_J) \cdot P_S$$

It follows then from Lemma 14 that

$$w - x_q((x_q \setminus 1)w) = \sum_i \alpha_i x_q \tilde{w}_i,$$

where each $\tilde{w}_i$ is in $E'_{k,q}$ and the α_i are some integers. Therefore, $x_q \setminus w$ is also in $E'_{k,q}$. $\square$

REFERENCES

- [1] R. H. Bruck, *A survey of binary systems*. Springer-Verlag, Berlin-Goettingen-Heidelberg, 1958.
- [2] P. Hall, *Nilpotent Groups*, Canadian Mathematical Congress, University of Alberta, Edmonton, 1957; also published as Queen Mary College Mathematics Notes, Queen Mary College, London, 1969, and in *The Collected Works of Philip Hall*, Oxford University Press, New York, 1988.
- [3] G. Higman, *The lower central series of a free loop*, Quart. J. Math. **14** (1963), 131–140.
- [4] S. A. Jennings, *The group ring of a class of infinite nilpotent groups*, Can. J. Math. **7** (1955), 169–187.
- [5] P. Miheev and L. Sabinin, *Quasigroups and differential geometry*, Quasigroups and loops: theory and applications, 357–430, Heldermann, Berlin, 1990.
- [6] J. Mostovoy, *On the notion of the lower central series for loops*, math.GR/0410515.
- [7] J. Mostovoy and J.M. Pérez-Izquierdo, *Dimension filtration on loops*, math.GR/0410516.
- [8] I. B. S. Passi, *Group Rings and Their Augmentation Ideals*, Lecture Notes in Mathematics 715, Springer-Verlag, Berlin, 1979.
- [9] D. S. Passman, *The Algebraic Structure of Group Rings*, Interscience, New York, 1977.
- [10] J.M. Pérez-Izquierdo, *Algebras, hyperalgebras, nonassociative bialgebras and loops*, available at the Jordan theory preprint archives <http://mathematik.uibk.ac.at/mathematik/jordan/>
- [11] L. Sabinin, P. Mikheev, *Infinitesimal theory of local analytic loops. (Russian)* Dokl. Akad. Nauk SSSR **297** (1987), 801–804; translation in Soviet Math. Dokl. **36** (1988), 545–548
- [12] I. Shestakov and U. Umirbaev, *Free Akivis algebras, primitive elements, and hyperalgebras*, J. Algebra **250** (2002), no. 2, 533–548.

INSTITUTO DE MATEMÁTICAS, UNIDAD CUERNAVACA, UNIVERSIDAD NACIONAL AUTÓNOMA DE MÉXICO, A.P. 273-3, C.P. 62251, CUERNAVACA, MORELOS, MEXICO

E-mail address: jacob@matcuer.unam.mx